

From: [REDACTED]
To: [M25 Junction 10](#)
Subject: M25 Junction 10 / A3 Wisley Interchange Project – TR010030 . Personal Reference: 20023023 Pains Hill Residents Group Reference 20023022
Date: 26 November 2019 23:07:24
Attachments: [Pains Hill Planning Inspectorate letter .docx](#)

Dear Sir?Madam

Please find attached a letter which has been agreed by 10 households at Pains Hill. We have approached Ms Church to advise her that the chairman of the Pains Hill Residents Association has decided not to have further involvement with regard to the scheme and therefore we have registered as a group for the open floor hearings under the reference number 20023022 (The people registered under this reference are Giuseppina O'Brien, Lesley Lloyd-Eley and one other person, which was Mr Robert Brown, civil engineer, who spoke at the open hearing on behalf of Pains Hill residents.

Yours faithfully

Dr Lesley Lloyd-Eley

Pains Hill
Portsmouth Road
Cobham
Surrey
KT11 1DL/DJ/JE
November 27, 19

The Planning Inspectorate
National Infrastructure Planning
Temple Quay House
2 The Square
Bristol BS1 6PN

Dear Sirs,

Highways England Application M25 Jctn 10/A3 interchange

We are households that are situated in a community at Pains Hill, Cobham and we are writing to register our various objections to the location of the proposed access road to the three properties adjacent to the A3, namely Close Court Farm, Heyswood and MacAteer's Farm. The proposed road would remove the historical barrier provided by the Christmas tree field bordering the A3 and a number of Pains Hill residencies.

The access road

It is apparent with the widening of the A3 that a solution must be reached to enable the above properties to access their land.

In early 2018 it was understood that an acceptable compromise had been reached, whereby the access road would be a bridge, that would traverse the A3. Despite individual households actively maintaining direct contact with HE at that time, Pains Hill residents were not consulted or informed of any change in access road location. Many months later, we discovered a change of plan and demanded a meeting with HE, which took place in August 2019.

At that August meeting we were shown, on plans, the proposed access road through the Christmas tree field. Among the objections made to HE's proposal, (objecting to their proposal,) it was suggested that the earlier agreed bridge would have significantly less impact on a historic landscape. In the alternative, it was felt the earlier plan to use Pointers Road should be reconsidered. We were informed that it was too late to make any alterations and the Christmas tree field proposal would be advanced to the Planning Inspectorate.

Safety

The siting of the access road off the A3 slip road causes concerns in respect of safety with its proximity to the Pains Hill roundabout. The proposed barrier on the access road could create congestion on the slip road if there were several vehicles accessing the slip road simultaneously, in particular on Guiding event days. It could also create a

potential hazard in respect of vehicles taking an accidental turn and it would provide insufficient security for the three properties, Pains Hill residents and the Park.

Security

Opening up the access road on the proposed route would make our properties very vulnerable and the security afforded by our electronic gate at the entrance to the Pains Hill residences would be negated or at least reduced. Moreover, there are a number of children on the estate and their security and safety could be compromised by what is otherwise a very secure environment.

Noise and Pollution

The proposed access road would bring increased noise and air pollution from the A3, considerably closer to our community. Reduction of the trees, even on a temporary basis could impact the health of our residents. The pollution from all the building works and the substantial noise of the construction would affect the quality of life all people living here for a significant period of time.

Wildlife and conservation

The intrusion of the proposed access road would significantly impact the abundance of wildlife in our environment namely, families of deer, bats, dormice, adders, badgers, and bees. Moreover, our delicate ecosystem would be damaged.

The heritage of our properties should come into consideration. Pains Hill Park, in their oral submissions, contended that note should be given to the National Policy Planning Framework covering heritage assets as their landscape is Grade 1 and the buildings Grade 2 star. The same applies to the properties here at Pains Hill. All properties (here) are individually owned: this is not an organisation with a long list of public support, but a group of households who have paid a premium, not only to buy a dwelling in which to live, but also to take responsibility for a heritage and to commit to the upkeep of their properties to benefit future and to commit to the upkeep of their properties to benefit future generations. The curtilage of the residences is of equal importance as the buildings themselves and the slip road access would cause an impact on that environment, which could be avoided if the bridge decision was adhered to. The preservation and management of a historic landscape, its buildings and its environs would, in our view, be better served by protecting this estate.

Finally, but not least, European law provides that we have a right to a family/private life without interference and that those rights would be infringed.

Yours faithfully

[Redacted signature]

[Redacted signature]

[Redacted signature]

[Redacted text]

[Redacted text]

[Redacted text]

[Redacted text]